

NAVIER-STOKES EQUATIONS IN ARBITRARY DOMAINS : THE FUJITA-KATO SCHEME

SYLVIE MONNIAUX

ABSTRACT. Navier-Stokes equations are investigated in a functional setting in 3D open sets Ω , bounded or not, without assuming any regularity of the boundary $\partial\Omega$. The main idea is to find a correct definition of the Stokes operator in a suitable Hilbert space of divergence-free vectors and apply the Fujita-Kato method, a fixed point procedure, to get a local strong solution.

1. INTRODUCTION

Since the pioneering work by Leray [3] in 1934, there have been several studies on solutions of Navier-Stokes equations

$$(NS) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \Delta u + \nabla \pi + (u \cdot \nabla)u &= 0 & \text{in }]0, T[\times \Omega, \\ \operatorname{div} u &= 0 & \text{in }]0, T[\times \Omega, \\ u &= 0 & \text{on }]0, T[\times \partial\Omega, \\ u(0) &= u_0 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Fujita and Kato [2] in 1964 gave a method to construct so called mild solutions in smooth domains Ω , producing local (in time) smooth solutions of (NS) in a Hilbert space setting. These solutions are global in time if the initial value u_0 is small enough in a certain sense. The case of non smooth domains has been studied by Deuring and von Wahl [1] in 1995 where they considered domains $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ with Lipschitz boundary $\partial\Omega$. They found local smooth solutions using results contained in Shen's PhD thesis [4]. Their method does not cover the critical space case as in [2]. One of the difficulty there was to understand the Stokes operator, and in particular its domain of definition.

In Section 2, we give a “universal” definition of the Stokes operator, for any domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ (Definition 2.3). In Section 3, we construct a mild solution of (NS) with a method similar to Fujita-Kato's [2] (Theorem 3.2) for initial values u_0 in the critical space $D(A^{\frac{1}{2}})$. We show in Section 4 that this mild solution is a strong solution, *i.e.* (NS) is satisfied almost everywhere.

2. THE STOKES OPERATOR

Let Ω be an open set in $\mathbb{R}^3$. The space

$$L^2(\Omega)^3 = \{u = (u_1, u_2, u_3); u_i \in L^2(\Omega), i = 1, 2, 3\}$$

endowed with the scalar product

$$\langle u, v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} u \cdot \bar{v} = \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_{\Omega} u_i \bar{v}_i$$

is a Hilbert space. Define

$$\mathcal{G} = \{\nabla p; p \in L^2_{loc}(\Omega) \text{ and } \nabla p \in L^2(\Omega)^3\};$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35Q10, 76D05 ; Secondary 35A15.

the set $\mathcal{G}$ is a closed subspace of $L^2(\Omega)^3$. Let

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{G}^\perp = \{u \in L^2(\Omega)^3; \langle u, \nabla p \rangle = 0, \forall p \in H^1(\Omega)\}.$$

The space $\mathcal{H}$, endowed with the scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is a Hilbert space. We have the following Hodge decomposition

$$L^2(\Omega)^3 = \mathcal{H} \oplus^\perp \mathcal{G}.$$

We denote by $\mathbb{P}$ the projection from $L^2(\Omega)^3$ onto $\mathcal{H}$: $\mathbb{P}$ is the usual Helmholtz projection. We denote by J the canonical injection $\mathcal{H} \hookrightarrow L^2(\Omega)^3$: $J' = \mathbb{P}$ (J' being the adjoint of J) and $\mathbb{P}J$ is the identity on $\mathcal{H}$. Let now $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)^3 = \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\Omega)^3$ and

$$\mathcal{D} = \{u \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)^3; \operatorname{div} u = 0\}.$$

It is clear that $\mathcal{D}$ is a closed subspace of $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)^3$. We denote by $J_0 : \mathcal{D} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}(\Omega)^3$ the canonical injection : $J_0 \subset J$. Let $\mathbb{P}_1$ be the adjoint of J_0 : $\mathbb{P}_1 = J_0' : \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)^3 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'$. We have $\mathbb{P}_1 \subset \mathbb{P}$. The following theorem characterizes the elements in $\ker \mathbb{P}_1$.

Theorem 2.1 (de Rahm). *Let $T \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)^3$ such that $\mathbb{P}_1 T = 0$ in $\mathcal{D}'$. Then there exists $S \in (\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\Omega))'$ such that $T = \nabla S$. Conversely, if $T = \nabla S$ with $S \in (\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\Omega))'$, then $\mathbb{P}_1 T = 0$ in $\mathcal{D}'$.*

We denote by $H_0^1(\Omega)^3$ the closure of $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)^3$ with respect to the scalar product $(u, v) \mapsto \langle u, v \rangle_1 = \langle u, v \rangle + \sum_{i=1}^3 \langle \partial_i u, \partial_i v \rangle$. By Sobolev embeddings, we have $H_0^1(\Omega)^3 \hookrightarrow L^6(\Omega)^3$. Define

$$\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{H} \cap H_0^1(\Omega)^3.$$

The space $\mathcal{V}$ is a closed subspace of $H_0^1(\Omega)^3$; endowed with the scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1$, $\mathcal{V}$ is a Hilbert space. The canonical injection $\tilde{J} : \mathcal{V} \hookrightarrow H_0^1(\Omega)^3$ is the restriction of J to $\mathcal{V}$. Let $H^{-1}(\Omega)^3 = (H_0^1(\Omega)^3)'$; $\mathbb{P}_1$ maps $H^{-1}(\Omega)^3$ to $\mathcal{V}'$: the restriction of $\mathbb{P}_1$ to $H^{-1}(\Omega)^3$ is $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$, the adjoint of $\tilde{J}$. On $\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V}$ we define now the form a by

$$a(u, v) = \sum_{i=1}^3 \langle \partial_i \tilde{J} u, \partial_i \tilde{J} v \rangle : a \text{ is a bilinear, symmetric, } \delta + a \text{ is a coercive form on}$$

$\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V}$ for all $\delta > 0$, then defines a bounded self-adjoint operator $A_0 : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}'$ by $(A_0 u)(v) = a(u, v)$ with $\delta + A_0$ invertible for all $\delta > 0$.

Proposition 2.2. *For all $u \in \mathcal{V}$, $A_0 u = \tilde{\mathbb{P}}(-\Delta_D^\Omega) \tilde{J} u$, where Δ_D^Ω denotes the Dirichlet-Laplacian on $H_0^1(\Omega)^3$.*

Proof. For all $u, v \in \mathcal{V}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (A_0 u)(v) &\stackrel{(1)}{=} a(u, v) \stackrel{(2)}{=} \sum_{i=1}^3 \langle \partial_i \tilde{J} u, \partial_i \tilde{J} v \rangle \\ &\stackrel{(3)}{=} \langle (-\Delta_D^\Omega) \tilde{J} u, \tilde{J} v \rangle_{H^{-1}, H_0^1} \\ &\stackrel{(4)}{=} \langle \tilde{\mathbb{P}}(-\Delta_D^\Omega) \tilde{J} u, v \rangle_{\mathcal{V}', \mathcal{V}}. \end{aligned}$$

The first two equalities come from the definition of A_0 and a . The third equality comes from the definition of the Dirichlet-Laplacian on $H_0^1(\Omega)^3$ and the fact that for $v \in \mathcal{V}$, $\tilde{J} v = v$. The last equality is due to $\tilde{J}' \varphi = \tilde{\mathbb{P}} \varphi$ in $\mathcal{V}'$ for all $\varphi \in H^{-1}(\Omega)^3$. This shows that $A_0 u$ and $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}(-\Delta_D^\Omega) \tilde{J} u$ are two continuous linear forms on $\mathcal{V}$ which coincide on $\mathcal{V}$, they are then equal. $\square$

Definition 2.3. The operator A defined on its domain $D(A) = \{u \in \mathcal{V}; A_0 u \in \mathcal{H}\}$ by $Au = A_0 u$ is called the Stokes operator.

Theorem 2.4. *The Stokes operator is self-adjoint in $\mathcal{H}$, generates an analytic semigroup $(e^{-tA})_{t \geq 0}$, $D(A^{\frac{1}{2}}) = \mathcal{V}$ and satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} D(A) &= \{u \in \mathcal{V} ; \exists \pi \in (\mathbb{C}_c^\infty(\Omega))' : \nabla \pi \in H^{-1}(\Omega) \text{ and } -\Delta u + \nabla \pi \in \mathcal{H}\} \\ Au &= -\Delta u + \nabla \pi. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.5. Since $H_0^1(\Omega)^3 \hookrightarrow L^6(\Omega)^3$, it is clear by interpolation and dualization that $\mathbb{P}_1$ maps $L^p(\Omega)^3$ to $D(A^s)'$ for $\frac{6}{5} \leq p \leq 2$, $0 \leq s \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and $s = -\frac{3}{4} + \frac{3}{2p}$. Since A is self-adjoint, one has $(\delta + A_0)^{-s} D(A^s)' = \{(\delta + A_0)^{-s} u; u \in D(A^s)'\} = \mathcal{H}$. In particular, $(\delta + A_0)^{-\frac{1}{4}} \mathbb{P}_1$ maps $L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Omega)^3$ into $\mathcal{H}$.

3. MILD SOLUTION TO THE NAVIER-STOKES SYSTEM

Let $T > 0$.

Define the following Banach space

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_T &= \left\{ u \in \mathcal{C}([0, T]; D(A^{\frac{1}{4}})) \cap \mathcal{C}^1([0, T]; D(A^{\frac{1}{4}})) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \text{such that } \sup_{0 < s < T} \|s^{\frac{1}{4}} A^{\frac{1}{2}} u(s)\|_{\mathcal{H}} + \sup_{0 < s < T} \|s A^{\frac{1}{4}} u'(s)\|_{\mathcal{H}} < \infty \right\} \end{aligned}$$

endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} = \sup_{0 < s < T} \|A^{\frac{1}{4}} u(s)\|_{\mathcal{H}} + \sup_{0 < s < T} \|s^{\frac{1}{4}} A^{\frac{1}{2}} u(s)\|_{\mathcal{H}} + \sup_{0 < s < T} \|s A^{\frac{1}{4}} u'(s)\|_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

Let α be defined by $\alpha(t) = e^{-tA} u_0$ where $u_0 \in D(A^{\frac{1}{4}})$. Then $\alpha \in \mathcal{E}_T$. Indeed, it is clear that $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}([0, T]; D(A^{\frac{1}{4}}))$. We also have that $t^{\frac{1}{4}} A^{\frac{1}{2}} \alpha(t) = t^{\frac{1}{4}} A^{\frac{1}{4}} e^{-tA} A^{\frac{1}{4}} u_0$ is bounded on $(0, T)$ since $(e^{-tA})_{t \geq 0}$ is an analytic semigroup. Moreover, one has $\alpha'(t) = -A e^{-tA} u_0$ which yields to $t A^{\frac{1}{4}} \alpha'(t) = -t A e^{-tA} A^{\frac{1}{4}} u_0$ continuous on $]0, T]$, bounded in $\mathcal{H}$. For $u, v \in \mathcal{E}_T$, we define now

$$\Phi(u, v)(t) = \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)A} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{P}_1 \right) ((u(s) \cdot \nabla) v(s) + (v(s) \cdot \nabla) u(s)) ds, \quad 0 < t < T.$$

Proposition 3.1. *The transform Φ is bilinear, symmetric, continuous from $\mathcal{E}_T \times \mathcal{E}_T$ to $\mathcal{E}_T$ and the norm of Φ is independent of T .*

Proof. The fact that Φ is bilinear and symmetric is clear. Moreover, $\Phi(u, v) = e^{-\cdot A} * f$, where f is defined by

$$f(s) = \left(-\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{P}_1 \right) ((u(s) \cdot \nabla) v(s) + (v(s) \cdot \nabla) u(s)), \quad s \in [0, T].$$

For $u, v \in \mathcal{E}_T$, it is clear that $(u(s) \cdot \nabla) v(s) + (v(s) \cdot \nabla) u(s) \in L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Omega)^3$ and therefore $(\delta + A_0)^{-\frac{1}{4}} f(s) \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\sup_{0 < s < T} s^{\frac{1}{2}} \|(\delta + A_0)^{-\frac{1}{4}} f(s)\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq c \|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \|v\|_{\mathcal{E}_T}$. We have then

$$\Phi(u, v) = e^{-\cdot A} * f = (\delta + A)^{\frac{1}{4}} e^{-\cdot A} * ((\delta + A_0)^{-\frac{1}{4}} f)$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \|A^{\frac{1}{4}} \Phi(u, v)(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}} &\leq \int_0^t \|A^{\frac{1}{4}} (\delta + A)^{\frac{1}{4}} e^{-(t-s)A}\|_{\mathbf{L}(\mathcal{H})} \|(\delta + A_0)^{-\frac{1}{4}} f(s)\|_{\mathcal{H}} ds \\ &\leq c \left(\int_0^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{t-s}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} ds \right) \|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \|v\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \\ &\leq c \left(\int_0^1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\sigma}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma}} d\sigma \right) \|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \|v\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \\ &\leq c \|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \|v\|_{\mathcal{E}_T}. \end{aligned}$$

Continuity with respect to $t \in [0, T]$ of $t \mapsto A^{\frac{1}{4}}\Phi(u, v)(t)$ is clear once we have proved the boundedness. We also have

$$\begin{aligned} \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}\Phi(u, v)(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}} &\leq \int_0^t \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}(\delta + A)^{\frac{1}{4}}e^{-(t-s)A}\|_{\mathbf{L}(\mathcal{H})} \|(\delta + A_0)^{-\frac{1}{4}}f(s)\|_{\mathcal{H}} ds \\ &\leq c \left(\int_0^t \frac{1}{(t-s)^{\frac{3}{4}}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} ds \right) \|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \|v\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \\ &\leq ct^{-\frac{1}{4}} \left(\int_0^1 \frac{1}{(1-\sigma)^{\frac{3}{4}}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma}} d\sigma \right) \|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \|v\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \\ &\leq ct^{-\frac{1}{4}} \|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \|v\|_{\mathcal{E}_T}. \end{aligned}$$

Continuity with respect to $t \in]0, T]$ is clear once we have proved the boundedness. To prove the last part of the norm of $\Phi(u, v)$ in $\mathcal{E}_T$, we have for $s \in]0, T[$

$$f'(s) = (-\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{P}_1)((u'(s) \cdot \nabla)v(s) + (u(s) \cdot \nabla)v'(s) + (v'(s) \cdot \nabla)u(s) + (v(s) \cdot \nabla)u'(s))$$

and therefore

$$\sup_{0 < s < T} \|s^{\frac{5}{4}}(\delta + A_0)^{-\frac{1}{2}}f'(s)\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq c\|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_T}\|v\|_{\mathcal{E}_T}.$$

We have

$$\Phi(u, v)(t) = \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{-sA} f(t-s) ds + \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{-(t-s)A} f(s) ds \quad t \in]0, T[,$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(u, v)'(t) &= e^{-\frac{t}{2}A} f\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) + \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} (\delta + A)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-sA} (\delta + A_0)^{-\frac{1}{2}} f'(t-s) ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} -A(\delta + A)^{\frac{1}{4}} e^{-(t-s)A} (\delta + A_0)^{-\frac{1}{4}} f(s) ds, \end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|A^{\frac{1}{4}}\Phi(u, v)'(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}} &\leq \frac{c}{\sqrt{t}} \|(\delta + A_0)^{-\frac{1}{4}} f\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)\|_{\mathcal{H}} + c \left(\int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \frac{1}{s^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{1}{(t-s)^{\frac{5}{4}}} ds \right) \|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \|v\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \\ &\quad + c \left(\int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \frac{1}{(t-s)^{\frac{5}{4}}} \frac{1}{s^{\frac{1}{2}}} ds \right) \|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \|v\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \\ &\leq \frac{c}{t} \left(\int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{d\sigma}{(1-\sigma)^{\frac{5}{4}} \sigma^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \|v\|_{\mathcal{E}_T}. \end{aligned}$$

This last inequality ensures that $\Phi(u, v) \in \mathcal{E}_T$ whenever $u, v \in \mathcal{E}_T$. $\square$

Theorem 3.2. *For all $u_0 \in D(A^{\frac{1}{4}})$, there exists $T > 0$ such that there exists a unique $u \in \mathcal{E}_T$ solution of $u = \alpha + \Phi(u, u)$ on $[0, T]$. This function u is called the mild solution to the Navier-Stokes system.*

Proof. Let $T > 0$. Since $\Phi : \mathcal{E}_T \times \mathcal{E}_T \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_T$ is bilinear continuous, it suffices to apply Picard fixed point theorem, as in [2]. The sequence in $\mathcal{E}_T$ $(v_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ defined by $v_0 = \alpha$ as first term and

$$v_{n+1} = \alpha + \Phi(v_n, v_n), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}$$

converges to the unique solution $u \in \mathcal{E}_T$ of $u = \alpha + \Phi(u, u)$ provided $\|A^{\frac{1}{4}}u_0\|_{\mathcal{H}}$ is small enough ($\|\alpha\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} < \frac{1}{4\|\Phi\|_{\mathbf{L}(\mathcal{E}_T \times \mathcal{E}_T; \mathcal{E}_T)}}$). In the case where $\|A^{\frac{1}{4}}u_0\|_{\mathcal{H}}$ is not small

(that is, if $\|\alpha\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \geq \frac{1}{4\|\Phi\|_{\mathbf{L}(\mathcal{E}_T \times \mathcal{E}_T; \mathcal{E}_T)}}$) then for $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $u_{0,\varepsilon} \in D(A)$ such that $\|A^{\frac{1}{4}}(u_0 - u_{0,\varepsilon})\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \varepsilon$. If we take as initial value $u_{0,\varepsilon} \in D(A)$, we have

$$\|\alpha_\varepsilon\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \leq cT^{\frac{3}{4}}\|Au_{0,\varepsilon}\|_{\mathcal{H}} \xrightarrow{T \rightarrow 0} 0.$$

Therefore, we can find $T > 0$ such that $\|\alpha\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} < \frac{1}{4\|\Phi\|_{\mathbf{L}(\mathcal{E}_T \times \mathcal{E}_T; \mathcal{E}_T)}}$. $\square$

4. STRONG SOLUTIONS

Let u be the mild solution to the Navier-Stokes system. We show in this section that u in fact satisfies the equations of the Navier-Stokes system in an L^p -sense (for a suitable p). To begin with, we know that $u \in \mathcal{E}_T$ and satisfies

$$u = \alpha + \Phi(u, u) = \alpha + e^{-\cdot A} * \varphi(u),$$

where $\varphi(u) = -\mathbb{P}_1((u \cdot \nabla)u)$ and we have $\|t^{\frac{1}{2}}(u(t) \cdot \nabla)u(t)\|_{\frac{3}{2}} \leq c\|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_T}^2$. Therefore, we get

$$(4.1) \quad u(0) = \alpha(0) = u_0,$$

$$(4.2) \quad \operatorname{div} u(t) = 0 \text{ in the } L^2 \text{ - sense for } t \in]0, T[,$$

and

$$u' + Au = f \quad \text{in } \mathcal{C}([0, T[; \mathcal{V}'),$$

which means that for all $t \in]0, T[$,

$$\mathbb{P}_1(u'(t) - \Delta_D^\Omega u(t) + (u(t) \cdot \nabla)u(t)) = 0.$$

Then, by Theorem 2.1, there exists $(-\pi)(t) \in (\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\Omega))'$ such that $\nabla \pi(t) \in H^{-1}(\Omega)^3$ and

$$(4.3) \quad \nabla(-\pi)(t) = u'(t) - \Delta_D^\Omega u(t) + (u(t) \cdot \nabla)u(t)$$

and we have for $0 < t < T$

$$-\Delta_D^\Omega u(t) + \nabla \pi(t) = -u'(t) - (u(t) \cdot \nabla)u(t) \in L^3(\Omega)^3 + L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Omega)^3.$$

The equation (4.3), together with (4.1) and (4.2), give the usual Navier-Stokes equations which are fulfilled in a strong sense (*a.e.*) where we consider the expression $-\Delta u + \nabla \pi$ uncoupled.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. DEURING, W. VON WAHL. Strong solutions of the Navier-Stokes system in Lipschitz bounded domains. *Math. Nachr.*, 171:111–148, 1995.
- [2] H. FUJITA, T. KATO. On the Navier-Stokes initial value problem I. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 16: 269–315, 1964.
- [3] J. LERAY. Sur le mouvement d'un liquide visqueux emplissant l'espace. *Acta Math. J.*, 63:193248, 1934.
- [4] Z. SHEN. Boundary value problems for parabolic Lamé systems and a nonstationary linearized system of Navier-Stokes equations in Lipschitz cylinders. *Amer. J. Math.*, 113:293–373, 1991.

LATP UMR 6632 - CASE COUR A - FACULTÉ DES SCIENCES DE SAINT-JÉRÔME - UNIVERSITÉ PAUL CÉZANNE (AIX-MARSEILLE 3) - 13397 MARSEILLE CÉDEX 20 - FRANCE
E-mail address: sylvie.monniaux@univ.u-3mrs.fr